COP11 News

GENEVA 17 - 22 November 2025



Inside COP11



Canadian The Cancer Society released the 9th Edition of the Cigarette **Packaging** Health Warnings: International **Status Report**

Read Report

Forward looking measures including plain tobacco packaging, manufacturer cost recovery fee, so-called generational bans and other progressive restrictions on sales are at the centre todav's discussions.

Multiple delegations, observers, non-treaty members. and NGOs delivered statements, and among them there were voices calling for greater transparency and inclusion.

Outside COP11

Brazilian Tobacco Sector Delegation and ITGA President visting the Permanent Mission of Brazil to the United Nations

The delegation representing the tobacco production chain met with the Brazilian Ambassador in Switzerland, Tovar da Silva Nunes, on the sidelines of COP11 in Geneva to press concerns about proposed restrictions. They warned that a possible ban on cigarette filters could boost the illicit market and hurt small producers and secured a daily dialogue slot at Brazil's Permanent Mission to the UN (Read Article). In addition, Deputy Airton Artus described a follow-up meeting with Ambassador Nunes as "very promising," noting that their large group (despite being denied formal COP11 access) impressed the diplomat and highlighted the socioeconomic importance of the tobacco industry (Read Article).



Daily Highlights

•EU countries arrived at the WHO's COP11 in Geneva without a unified stance, forcing the bloc to abstain from key votes. divide favouring governments stricter rules - such as bans. flavour plain packaging, and costrecovery fees — against those warning of economic impacts and emphasising harm reduction. The rift is seen as an early preview of the difficult negotiations expected when the EU unveils its own tobaccocontrol reforms later this vear.

Read Article 1 Read Article 2

 Dominican Republic and Cuba speak with honour about tobacco growing in their respective markets Read Coverage



WHO FCTC 20th Anniversary

COP4: Proposed Ingredients Ban and Global Farmer Mobilization

Background

At the Fourth Conference of the Parties (COP4) to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC), governments debated the implementation of Articles 9 and 10, which address tobacco product regulation and mandated disclosure of product ingredients. During these discussions, a proposal emerged to ban specific ingredients commonly used in traditional burley-blend cigarettes.

Impact on Burley-Growing Countries

Burley tobacco is essential in traditional cigarette manufacturing: without it, the products become effectively unsmokable due to loss of combustibility and flavour balance. More critically, the proposal posed serious socio-economic risks for countries whose economies rely heavily on burley production.

Malawi, for example, derives around 60% of its foreign exchange earnings from burley tobacco.

The absence of agricultural expertise, and notably any representation of tobacco-growing communities, in technical deliberations contributed to the controversial nature of the proposal.

The issue resurfaced on the agenda a decade later at COP10, demonstrating its long-term significance.

ITGA's Global Mobilization

In response to the threat posed to millions of farming families, the International Tobacco Growers' Association (ITGA) launched its first global advocacy campaign. This coordinated effort represented an unprecedented moment for farmer participation in FCTC-related decision-making.

Key outcomes included:

- 238,000 signatures gathered worldwide opposing the proposed ban.
- A mobilization of 350 growers who gathered outside the COP4 venue to voice their concerns directly to delegates.
- Support from major regional organizations, including COMESA (Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa), which joined other alliances in rejecting measures that could destabilize agricultural economies.

Significance

The campaign remains the largest mobilization in the history of the tobacco-growing sector, illustrating the power of coordinated action between farmers, regional bodies, and international partners. It also underscored the need for inclusive policymaking that takes into account agricultural, economic, and social realities not only health objectives.